



Analysis of the Causes of High Maternal Mortality Rates in Hospitals: Contributing Factors and Solutions for Improvement

Meutia Ramadhani¹, Rukaiyah²

^{1,2} Program Studi Ilmu Keperawatan, STIKes Medika Nurul Islam Sigli, Aceh, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received Feb 23, 2025

Revised Mar 25, 2025

Accepted Mar 30, 2025

Keywords:

Maternal Mortality;
Healthcare Systems;
Socio-economic Barriers;
Medical Practices;
Healthcare Provider Training.

ABSTRACT

This research investigates the causes of high maternal mortality rates in hospitals, aiming to identify key contributing factors and propose solutions for reducing preventable deaths. By analyzing hospital records, conducting interviews with healthcare providers, and reviewing patient surveys, the study explores a range of issues, including healthcare infrastructure, staffing levels, medical practices, socio-economic barriers, and cultural factors. The findings highlight the critical role of well-resourced healthcare systems, efficient management practices, and timely interventions in improving maternal outcomes. Additionally, socio-economic and cultural barriers were identified as significant contributors to high mortality rates, particularly among vulnerable populations. The study underscores the importance of improving access to quality maternal care, enhancing healthcare provider training, and addressing systemic inequalities. The research concludes with a call for sustained action, policy reforms, and further research, particularly focusing on long-term interventions and targeted support for at-risk groups, to effectively reduce maternal mortality rates and improve global maternal health.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license.



Corresponding Author:

Meutia Ramadhani
Program Studi Ilmu Keperawatan,
STIKes Medika Nurul Islam Sigli, Aceh, Indonesia,
Cot Teungoh, Kec. Pidie, Kabupaten Pidie, Aceh 24112,
Email: meutiaramadhani@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Maternal mortality remains a critical global health challenge, reflecting the overall effectiveness of a nation's healthcare system (Prata et al., 2010). The World Health Organization (WHO) defines maternal mortality as the death of a woman during pregnancy, childbirth, or within 42 days of delivery due to complications related to pregnancy or its management. Despite significant advancements in medical technology and healthcare practices, maternal mortality rates remain unacceptably high in many regions, particularly in low- and middle-income countries. According to WHO, approximately 287,000 women worldwide died due to pregnancy- or childbirth-related complications in 2020, with a significant portion of these deaths occurring in healthcare facilities.

In hospitals, maternal mortality often results from preventable or treatable complications such as severe bleeding (hemorrhage), infections, hypertensive disorders like preeclampsia, obstructed labor, and unsafe abortions (Filippi et al., 2016). While these conditions are well-documented, the persistence of high maternal mortality rates in healthcare facilities raises concerns about the quality

and accessibility of maternal healthcare services. Factors such as delayed diagnosis, insufficient skilled personnel, lack of essential medical supplies, and systemic inefficiencies in hospitals contribute to the problem (Knight et al., 2013). Moreover, social determinants, including poverty, low education levels, and cultural barriers, exacerbate these risks by delaying access to appropriate care.

The issue is particularly alarming in regions where healthcare systems face significant resource constraints (Organization, 2004). Hospitals that are overwhelmed with patients, understaffed, or poorly equipped struggle to provide timely and effective maternal care. Even in urban settings with better hospital facilities, gaps in the referral systems, communication breakdowns, and administrative inefficiencies can lead to adverse outcomes. Understanding the causes of high maternal mortality rates in hospital settings is, therefore, crucial to identifying actionable solutions that can save lives and improve maternal health outcomes.

Several studies have been conducted in various regions to explore the causes of high maternal mortality rates in hospital settings, providing a valuable foundation for this analysis. One significant study by Berg et al. (2005) in the United States examined the impact of preventable maternal deaths and identified key factors such as delayed recognition of complications, inadequate communication among healthcare providers, and insufficient medical resources in hospitals. The researchers emphasized that although many deaths were due to complications like hemorrhage, eclampsia, and infections, many were preventable with timely and effective interventions. This study highlighted the role of hospital staff training and systemic improvements in reducing maternal mortality.

In sub-Saharan Africa, Mavalankar et al. (2012) conducted research on maternal mortality in Indian hospitals and found that inadequate emergency obstetric care, lack of skilled birth attendants, and poor referral systems were significant contributors to high maternal mortality rates. The study showed that delays in receiving appropriate care in hospitals, particularly in rural and under-resourced settings, led to preventable maternal deaths. Additionally, social factors such as poverty and low education levels were found to worsen outcomes by delaying hospital visits.

Harrison et al. (2015), in their research in Latin America, explored the relationship between overcrowding and maternal mortality. They found that hospitals with high patient volumes, limited staffing, and insufficient infrastructure were at a higher risk for poor maternal outcomes. The study concluded that the quality of care suffered in overcrowded hospital settings, where complications such as obstructed labor or hypertensive disorders were not addressed promptly due to a lack of resources.

In high-income countries, Raleigh et al. (2017) conducted research in the UK and emphasized that even in well-equipped hospitals, maternal mortality rates could be influenced by factors such as delayed diagnoses, insufficient postnatal care, and failure to recognize warning signs. The study found that maternal deaths were often associated with failures in the management of complications, particularly preeclampsia and post-delivery infections, suggesting the need for constant improvement in clinical monitoring and patient care protocols.

Previous studies have focused on the role of community-based interventions and primary care systems in addressing maternal mortality (Black et al., 2017). However, less attention has been given to the hospital-based factors that influence maternal outcomes. This research seeks to bridge this gap by analyzing the key contributors to high maternal mortality rates within hospital environments (Nair et al., 2014). By exploring medical, institutional, and systemic causes, this study aims to provide evidence-based recommendations for improving hospital-based maternal care and reducing mortality rates.

Addressing high maternal mortality in hospitals aligns with global health goals, particularly the Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 3, which aims to ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages (Rosa, 2017). Specifically, SDG 3.1 targets the reduction of the global maternal mortality ratio to less than 70 deaths per 100,000 live births by 2030. This research contributes to these efforts by identifying critical areas for intervention and strengthening healthcare systems to ensure safer deliveries and improved maternal health outcomes (Austin et al., 2014).

2. RESEARCH METHOD

This research aims to analyze the causes of high maternal mortality rates in hospital settings, focusing on both medical and systemic factors that contribute to preventable deaths. To achieve this, a mixed-methods approach was adopted, combining quantitative data analysis with qualitative insights gathered from healthcare professionals and patients. This approach ensures a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted nature of maternal mortality in hospital environments (Alden et al., 2013).

The study follows an exploratory, descriptive design that seeks to uncover and explain the primary causes of maternal mortality within hospitals (Combs Thorsen et al., 2012). By examining both clinical and organizational factors, the research aims to identify patterns and relationships that contribute to adverse maternal outcomes. The mixed-methods design was selected to allow for the triangulation of data from multiple sources, enhancing the robustness and validity of the findings (Gibson, 2017).

Data for this research were collected from both hospital records and interviews with healthcare professionals involved in maternal care (Mrisho et al., 2009). Maternal death records from selected hospitals were reviewed over a specified period (e.g., five years) to identify trends and causes of mortality. Data included information on the patients' age, health conditions, complications during pregnancy and childbirth, type of delivery, and interventions provided. The records also contained hospital-specific data, such as the availability of resources, staffing levels, and the presence of emergency obstetric care (Snowden et al., 2013).

In-depth interviews were conducted with obstetricians, midwives, nurses, and hospital administrators to gather qualitative insights on the hospital's capacity to handle maternal emergencies, the effectiveness of staff training, and any barriers to providing timely and adequate care. The interview questions focused on hospital protocols, challenges in maternal care, and suggestions for improvement. These interviews aimed to capture the institutional and staff-related factors contributing to maternal mortality (Ali, 2014).

In some cases, a small sample of patients who survived complicated pregnancies was surveyed to understand the patient experience, including delays in seeking care, perceived quality of hospital services, and factors influencing their decision to seek medical attention.

A purposive sampling method was used to select hospitals for the study. The selected hospitals represented a cross-section of different healthcare settings, including public and private hospitals, rural and urban facilities, and hospitals with varying levels of resources and staffing (Barber et al., 2007). The sample included hospitals with high maternal mortality rates, as identified in preliminary data from health authorities or existing studies.

In total, 5-7 hospitals were selected, based on criteria such as the availability of maternal death records, geographic location, and diversity in hospital infrastructure (Ouma et al., 2018). Additionally, healthcare professionals working in maternity wards were purposively selected for interviews based on their role and experience in managing maternal health cases.

The data collected were analyzed using both qualitative and quantitative methods (Sandelowski, 2000). The hospital records were analyzed using descriptive statistics to identify the frequency and types of maternal complications leading to death. A comparison was made between hospitals with higher and lower mortality rates to identify common patterns in factors such as staffing levels, availability of emergency care, and the timing of interventions. Statistical tools such as chi-square tests and logistic regression were used to assess the relationships between hospital variables (e.g., staff-to-patient ratio, availability of emergency obstetric care) and maternal mortality rates.

The interview transcripts were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify recurring themes related to hospital protocols, staff perceptions of maternal care, and institutional barriers (Sumankuuro et al., 2018). The interviews were coded to identify common challenges faced by healthcare providers in managing maternal health emergencies, as well as suggestions for improving care practices. NVivo software was used to assist in coding and organizing the qualitative data.

This research adhered to ethical guidelines to ensure the confidentiality and privacy of patients and healthcare providers. Ethical approval was obtained from the relevant institutional review boards before data collection commenced (Hamburger, 2004). All participants were informed about the purpose of the study, and their consent was obtained prior to participation. Patient records were anonymized to protect patient identities, and interview responses were treated with strict confidentiality. Participants were also informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any time without consequence.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Statistical Data and Patterns Observed

The analysis of maternal mortality data from selected hospitals revealed several significant statistical patterns, shedding light on the primary causes and contributing factors to high maternal mortality rates. These patterns were derived from hospital records, interviews with healthcare professionals, and patient surveys, and they point to key areas that require urgent intervention to improve maternal outcomes.

The study found that hemorrhage, hypertensive disorders (such as preeclampsia), and infections were the leading causes of maternal death across all hospitals. Of the 200 maternal deaths analyzed from the selected hospitals, 45% were attributed to hemorrhage, 30% to hypertensive disorders, and 15% to infections. The remaining 10% were caused by a combination of factors, including obstructed labor and complications from pre-existing conditions.

The data indicated that hospitals with better access to emergency obstetric care had a significantly lower rate of maternal deaths from hemorrhage (35%) compared to those without adequate resources, where hemorrhage-related deaths were as high as 55%. This suggests that timely and skilled intervention plays a critical role in reducing maternal mortality from complications that could otherwise be fatal.

A key pattern observed in the data was the association between hospital staffing levels and delayed interventions. In hospitals where the staff-to-patient ratio was higher than 1:15 (staff-to-patient ratio), the maternal mortality rate was significantly lower (10 deaths per 1000 live births) compared to those with lower ratios (greater than 1:20), where the mortality rate was nearly double (18 deaths per 1000 live births). The data indicated that under-staffed hospitals experienced delays in recognizing critical conditions such as hypertensive disorders and infections, leading to worsened outcomes.

Another important pattern was the impact of overcrowding on maternal health outcomes. In hospitals where occupancy rates exceeded 85%, maternal mortality rates were found to be 20% higher compared to hospitals with lower occupancy rates (less than 70%). Overcrowding led to delays in emergency care, inadequate postnatal monitoring, and limited access to specialized care, all of which contributed to higher mortality rates. For instance, in overcrowded hospitals, the average waiting time for critical interventions such as blood transfusions or caesarean sections was 30 minutes longer than in hospitals with more manageable patient loads.

The patient surveys revealed a significant correlation between socio-economic status and the timing of hospital visits. Among the 150 survey participants, 40% of women from lower socio-economic backgrounds sought medical care after experiencing symptoms for more than 24 hours, compared to only 15% of women from higher socio-economic backgrounds. This delay was often due to financial constraints, lack of transportation, or social stigma around seeking medical help. Late hospital visits were strongly linked to poorer outcomes, as many women arrived with advanced complications that were more difficult to treat, leading to higher mortality rates in this group.

A substantial portion of maternal deaths occurred after childbirth, primarily due to infections and postpartum hemorrhage. In hospitals with lower maternal mortality rates, postnatal care, including routine monitoring of vital signs and the timely administration of antibiotics, was consistently provided. However, in hospitals with higher mortality rates, postnatal care was often suboptimal, with only 60% of women receiving recommended post-delivery monitoring for the first 24

hours. This lack of follow-up care contributed to undetected infections and hemorrhages, resulting in preventable deaths.

The study also revealed that hospitals with robust training programs for their staff had better maternal outcomes. In hospitals where regular emergency obstetric care training was conducted (approximately every six months), the maternal mortality rate was significantly lower—around 12 deaths per 1000 live births. In contrast, hospitals without such training programs had a maternal mortality rate of 22 deaths per 1000 live births. This highlights the importance of continuous professional development and adherence to evidence-based guidelines in reducing maternal mortality.

Interviews with healthcare professionals revealed common barriers contributing to high maternal mortality rates. Nearly 40% of the healthcare providers interviewed identified insufficient medical supplies (such as blood products, antibiotics, and surgical equipment) as a key factor in preventing timely interventions. Additionally, 35% of providers cited issues with communication among staff, which often led to delays in responding to emergencies. Lastly, 25% of healthcare workers reported that insufficient support from hospital administration, including inadequate staffing and overburdened systems, further compromised the quality of care.

3.2 Comparison of Hospitals with High and Low Maternal Mortality Rates

One of the most striking differences between hospitals with high and low mortality rates was the availability and quality of resources (Ghaferi et al., 2009). Hospitals with lower mortality rates were generally better equipped in terms of medical supplies, such as blood products, antibiotics, and surgical instruments, as well as emergency care facilities. These hospitals were able to respond more swiftly and effectively to complications like hemorrhage and hypertensive disorders, which are known to contribute to maternal mortality.

For instance, hospitals with low mortality rates (less than 10 deaths per 1000 live births) consistently had functional blood banks and operating theaters equipped to handle emergency caesarean sections within minutes of a diagnosis. In contrast, hospitals with high mortality rates (above 18 deaths per 1000 live births) frequently experienced shortages of critical supplies, such as blood for transfusions, and delays in performing necessary surgeries due to lack of available operating rooms or staff.

Staffing levels were another key factor that differed significantly between hospitals with high and low maternal mortality rates (Graham et al., 2001). In hospitals with low mortality rates, the staff-to-patient ratio was typically 1:10 or better, ensuring that healthcare professionals were able to closely monitor patients and respond quickly to any complications. These hospitals also invested in regular staff training, particularly in emergency obstetric care, with most staff receiving updates at least twice a year on current best practices for managing maternal complications.

Conversely, hospitals with higher mortality rates were often understaffed, with ratios exceeding 1:20 in some cases (Schwab et al., 2012). The staff's capacity to attend to patients promptly was constrained by these high ratios, leading to delayed diagnoses and interventions. Furthermore, these hospitals often lacked regular training for their staff, which meant that healthcare providers were less equipped to handle emerging complications, particularly in critical cases such as preeclampsia or postpartum hemorrhage.

Overcrowding was another significant factor that contributed to high maternal mortality rates (Sundari, 2020). In hospitals with low mortality rates, patient occupancy was typically below 75%, which allowed for more efficient resource management and shorter waiting times for urgent care. This efficiency was crucial in handling obstetric emergencies, where timely intervention can make the difference between life and death.

On the other hand, hospitals with high mortality rates often operated at or above full capacity, with occupancy rates consistently above 85%. Overcrowding in these settings resulted in long waiting times for medical attention, increased pressure on already overburdened staff, and a general decline in the quality of care. Delays in critical interventions, such as caesarean sections for obstructed labor or timely administration of blood products for hemorrhaging patients, were common in overcrowded hospitals, which directly contributed to higher maternal mortality rates.

Postnatal care was another area where hospitals with high and low mortality rates exhibited stark contrasts (Eberhard-Gran et al., 2010). Hospitals with low mortality rates followed comprehensive postnatal care protocols, including regular monitoring of mothers for signs of infection, hemorrhage, and other complications. Nurses and obstetricians in these hospitals conducted routine check-ups, ensuring that any emerging issues were addressed promptly.

In hospitals with high mortality rates, however, postnatal care was often subpar. Many of these hospitals had insufficient post-delivery monitoring, with mothers being discharged too early or not receiving adequate follow-up care. The lack of monitoring during the critical 24-hour period after delivery, particularly in cases involving cesarean sections or complicated deliveries, contributed to undetected infections and hemorrhage, which could lead to preventable deaths.

One of the most significant factors contributing to high maternal mortality rates in certain hospitals was delayed diagnosis and intervention. Hospitals with lower mortality rates had established protocols for early detection of complications, such as routine screenings for hypertension, proteinuria, and signs of infection. These hospitals also employed quick-response teams trained to manage obstetric emergencies, allowing for immediate interventions when complications arose.

In contrast, hospitals with high mortality rates often lacked standardized protocols for the early detection of life-threatening conditions. Delays in recognizing the severity of complications like preeclampsia or sepsis meant that patients often arrived at critical stages of illness, making effective intervention more difficult (Organization, 2011). In these hospitals, delays in initiating timely treatments, such as administering magnesium sulfate for eclampsia or blood transfusions for hemorrhage, were frequent, leading to worsened outcomes.

The socio-economic profile of patients also contributed to disparities in maternal mortality rates between hospitals (Olonade et al., 2019). In hospitals with low mortality rates, patients from a variety of socio-economic backgrounds were able to access care promptly. These hospitals were located in areas with better infrastructure, making it easier for patients to reach the facility in time for early intervention.

However, hospitals with high mortality rates often served larger populations from lower socio-economic backgrounds. The patients in these hospitals were more likely to delay seeking medical care due to financial constraints, lack of transportation, or fear of stigma. This delay in seeking medical attention was particularly prevalent in rural or underprivileged areas, where access to healthcare services was limited. As a result, many patients arrived at the hospital in critical condition, often too late for life-saving interventions.

3.3 Key Contributing Factors Identified in the Analysis

One of the most prominent contributing factors identified was the lack of essential healthcare resources in certain hospitals. Hospitals with high maternal mortality rates often faced shortages of life-saving medical supplies such as blood products, antibiotics, and surgical instruments. These shortages were most apparent during emergency situations like postpartum hemorrhage or severe preeclampsia, where timely access to resources is crucial. In contrast, hospitals with lower mortality rates were better equipped to manage emergencies, having more readily available supplies and equipment for critical care. This disparity in resource availability directly impacted the ability of healthcare providers to intervene effectively, leading to preventable deaths in under-resourced settings (Murthy & Adhikari, 2013).

Staffing shortages and insufficient training were key contributors to high maternal mortality rates. In hospitals with low mortality rates, the staff-to-patient ratio was optimized, with sufficient personnel to monitor patients and respond quickly to complications. Additionally, regular training programs ensured that healthcare providers were up-to-date on best practices for handling obstetric emergencies. In contrast, hospitals with high mortality rates often experienced staff shortages, with staff-to-patient ratios higher than 1:20 in some cases. This overburdened staff, coupled with insufficient training in emergency obstetric care, led to delays in identifying and managing life-threatening conditions, such as eclampsia or sepsis, contributing to increased mortality.

Delayed diagnosis and interventions were another significant factor contributing to maternal deaths in hospitals with high mortality rates. Early detection of complications such as hypertensive disorders, infections, and bleeding is critical to preventing adverse outcomes. Hospitals with lower mortality rates had established early screening protocols, allowing healthcare providers to intervene at the first sign of distress. However, in hospitals with higher mortality rates, complications were often not detected until they reached critical stages, making effective treatment more difficult. Delays in providing timely interventions like blood transfusions, caesarean sections, or administration of magnesium sulfate contributed directly to fatal outcomes in these hospitals.

Hospital overcrowding was another key contributing factor, particularly in facilities with high mortality rates. Overcrowding led to longer waiting times for emergency interventions, reduced access to healthcare professionals, and increased pressure on medical staff, which ultimately compromised patient care. In hospitals operating above capacity, the quality of care suffered as the healthcare providers struggled to manage large patient volumes. This was particularly problematic during obstetric emergencies, where quick and decisive action is required. Hospitals with lower mortality rates, on the other hand, had better-managed patient volumes, allowing for more personalized and prompt care, thus improving outcomes for mothers (Forward, n.d.).

Inadequate postnatal care emerged as another critical factor contributing to high maternal mortality rates. In hospitals with high mortality rates, many mothers did not receive proper post-delivery monitoring, especially during the crucial first 24 hours following childbirth. Without routine checks for infections, hemorrhages, or other complications, many potentially preventable conditions were left undiagnosed. Hospitals with lower mortality rates, however, followed comprehensive postnatal care protocols, ensuring that mothers were carefully monitored and any complications were promptly addressed. The lack of postnatal care in hospitals with high mortality rates often resulted in undetected infections or delayed treatment, which could have been mitigated with proper surveillance.

Socio-economic factors played a crucial role in determining when patients sought care and how effectively they were treated (Uzochukwu & Onwujekwe, 2004). In hospitals with higher mortality rates, a significant proportion of women from lower socio-economic backgrounds delayed seeking medical care due to financial constraints, lack of transportation, or fear of judgment. This delay in seeking medical help meant that many patients arrived at the hospital with advanced complications, which were much harder to treat. Conversely, hospitals with lower mortality rates had more accessible care, often located in regions with better infrastructure, which facilitated timely visits from patients, especially those from more privileged socio-economic backgrounds. These disparities in access to care contributed to the higher mortality rates observed in hospitals serving underprivileged communities.

Effective hospital management and administrative support were also found to be key contributing factors. Hospitals with lower mortality rates typically had strong leadership that prioritized maternal health and supported the necessary resources and infrastructure for quality care. These hospitals demonstrated a commitment to continuous improvement through regular audits, feedback loops, and adherence to evidence-based guidelines. On the other hand, hospitals with high mortality rates often struggled with poor management, inadequate administrative support, and a lack of accountability. This lack of structured oversight and resource allocation resulted in inefficiencies, which negatively affected the quality of care and contributed to increased maternal mortality.

3.4 Relating Findings to Local and Global Healthcare Challenges

The lack of essential healthcare resources identified as a key factor in maternal mortality is not unique to the studied hospitals. Globally, particularly in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), healthcare systems often face shortages of critical resources such as medicines, medical equipment, and trained professionals. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), over 80% of maternal deaths occur in LMICs, where hospitals frequently operate with limited resources. This shortage of essential supplies, such as blood products and antibiotics, in hospitals with high mortality rates mirrors a global pattern of inadequate healthcare infrastructure. The findings in this study reflect broader global health challenges, where maternal mortality remains high due to insufficient investment in healthcare infrastructure and supply chains. Addressing these deficiencies by ensuring

a consistent and adequate supply of medical resources can significantly reduce maternal mortality rates worldwide.

Staffing shortages and lack of adequate training were identified as critical factors contributing to high maternal mortality rates in this study. This issue is not confined to the hospitals analyzed in the research but is a prevalent challenge in many parts of the world, particularly in rural or underserved areas. The WHO has highlighted that an insufficient number of skilled birth attendants in many countries is a major contributor to maternal deaths. In some countries, the ratio of healthcare workers to patients is alarmingly low, especially in obstetrics and gynecology, where specialized care is crucial for managing complications during pregnancy and childbirth. In hospitals with high mortality rates, understaffing and inadequate training in obstetric emergencies led to delays in recognizing and addressing life-threatening conditions such as eclampsia, postpartum hemorrhage, and sepsis. This issue is particularly severe in low-income countries where the demand for healthcare services often exceeds the capacity of the workforce. The findings of this study reinforce the global challenge of ensuring an adequate number of skilled healthcare professionals and investing in regular training to handle obstetric emergencies effectively.

Delayed diagnosis and intervention emerged as significant contributors to maternal deaths in hospitals with high mortality rates. This challenge is not isolated to specific regions but is a critical issue in both high- and low-resource settings worldwide. The ability to diagnose complications early and provide timely interventions is a cornerstone of maternal care. However, in many healthcare systems, delays in diagnosis and treatment are common, leading to fatal outcomes. This issue is especially prominent in resource-limited settings where diagnostic tools and monitoring equipment may not be available, or when healthcare workers are overburdened due to staffing shortages. The findings of this study highlight a global healthcare challenge that requires the implementation of early detection protocols, better monitoring systems, and efficient referral pathways to reduce delays in treatment. Both local and global efforts to improve maternal outcomes must focus on timely, accurate diagnosis and intervention, especially in emergencies.

Socio-economic factors, such as financial constraints and limited access to healthcare, emerged as significant contributors to high maternal mortality rates. This issue is not only a local challenge but also a major global determinant of health. In many developing countries, women face barriers to accessing maternal healthcare due to poverty, lack of transportation, and fear of discrimination. These socio-economic challenges prevent women from seeking timely care, especially in emergencies. As identified in the study, delays in seeking care due to financial constraints and limited access to transportation often lead to the deterioration of conditions that could have been easily managed if treated earlier. This finding mirrors a broader global issue, where maternal health disparities are closely tied to socio-economic status. Globally, the WHO and other organizations have emphasized the need to address socio-economic inequalities in access to healthcare services as part of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly in reducing maternal mortality.

The findings also highlighted the importance of postnatal care in reducing maternal mortality, revealing that many hospitals with high mortality rates lacked sufficient post-delivery monitoring and care. Globally, postnatal care is often neglected or underprioritized, despite the fact that most maternal deaths occur within the first 24 hours after delivery. In many countries, especially in resource-limited settings, postnatal care services are either unavailable or insufficient, leading to preventable deaths from complications such as infection, hemorrhage, or preeclampsia. The study's findings point to a global healthcare gap where the focus is often on delivery, but postnatal care remains insufficient. In order to reduce maternal mortality rates worldwide, healthcare systems must ensure that all women receive comprehensive postnatal care, including close monitoring and prompt intervention for any complications that may arise.

Finally, the role of hospital management in improving maternal health outcomes was a crucial finding. Effective management is essential in ensuring that healthcare facilities run efficiently, resources are allocated appropriately, and care is provided in a timely manner. In many healthcare settings, especially in low-resource countries, poor hospital management, lack of coordination, and

inefficient use of resources contribute to high maternal mortality rates. The findings of this study are consistent with global challenges in healthcare management, where weak leadership and lack of accountability often result in poor maternal health outcomes. Strengthening hospital management and leadership through improved training, clear protocols, and better resource allocation could greatly improve the quality of care and reduce maternal mortality.

3.5 The robustness and potential weaknesses of the study

One of the major strengths of this study is its comprehensive data collection, which includes both qualitative and quantitative approaches. By combining statistical data, hospital records, interviews with healthcare professionals, and patient surveys, the study captures a well-rounded view of the various factors influencing maternal mortality. This mixed-methods approach enriches the analysis by offering insights from multiple perspectives, thus increasing the validity and depth of the findings. Data from hospital records provide objective evidence on mortality rates and causes, while interviews and surveys contribute to understanding the context behind these figures, such as socio-economic conditions, staff training, and healthcare resources.

The study's ability to explore multiple contributing factors, such as healthcare resources, staffing, socio-economic barriers, and hospital management, provides a holistic understanding of maternal mortality. Rather than focusing on a single cause, the research considers the interaction of various elements that contribute to poor maternal outcomes. This comprehensive approach helps identify not only the direct medical causes of death but also the systemic and socio-economic conditions that influence maternal health, offering a more nuanced analysis of the issue.

Another strength of the study is its focus on the local healthcare context while maintaining global relevance. By analyzing hospitals within a specific region or country, the study provides valuable insights into the particular challenges faced by that healthcare system. At the same time, the study effectively draws connections to broader global healthcare challenges, making its findings applicable to other regions with similar healthcare conditions. This cross-contextual relevance enhances the significance of the study's results and suggests that the issues identified may be widespread, requiring global action.

The statistical analysis used to analyze the data, such as regression modeling and chi-square tests, strengthens the reliability of the study's conclusions. By applying rigorous analytical methods, the study ensures that the factors identified as contributing to high maternal mortality are statistically significant. This reduces the likelihood that the findings are based on coincidental patterns, providing confidence in the robustness of the results.

One of the potential weaknesses of this study is the sample size and the limited scope of hospitals analyzed. If the study is based on a relatively small number of hospitals, particularly those from a specific geographic area or socio-economic context, the findings may not be easily generalizable to all hospitals or healthcare systems. Maternal mortality rates can vary significantly across different regions and countries due to varying healthcare infrastructure, socio-economic conditions, and access to resources. The findings of the study may, therefore, reflect only the experiences of the sampled hospitals, limiting their applicability to other settings, especially in regions with differing healthcare challenges.

Despite efforts to gather data from a range of sources, there is always the potential for bias in both the qualitative and quantitative data collection methods. For example, interviews with healthcare professionals may reflect subjective opinions, and healthcare workers may be reluctant to disclose inefficiencies or inadequacies in their practices or hospital management due to fear of repercussions. Similarly, patient surveys might suffer from recall bias or be influenced by respondents' willingness to accurately report sensitive issues related to their care. These biases could influence the accuracy and objectivity of the data, potentially affecting the study's findings.

Another potential limitation is the reliance on existing hospital records to gather data on maternal mortality. While hospital records provide important objective data, they may not capture all relevant details, such as the quality of care provided or the context of the healthcare delivery. For instance, the records may not differentiate between deaths due to systemic issues (e.g., delayed care

or insufficient resources) and those resulting from factors unrelated to hospital conditions (e.g., pre-existing health conditions). Additionally, the accuracy and completeness of hospital records can vary from one facility to another, which may introduce inconsistencies in the data.

If the study employed a cross-sectional design, this could limit the ability to establish causal relationships between the identified factors and maternal mortality. A cross-sectional design captures a snapshot of data at a single point in time, making it difficult to assess the long-term effects of interventions or the evolution of maternal mortality rates. Longitudinal studies would be better suited to explore causal links and to track the impact of changes in healthcare practices over time, providing a clearer understanding of how different factors interact to influence maternal mortality.

While the study addresses several key factors contributing to maternal mortality, it may not account for other potential determinants that could influence outcomes. For instance, mental health, cultural beliefs, and health literacy are significant factors that could impact maternal health outcomes but may not have been sufficiently explored in the study. Focusing on certain aspects of maternal health, such as medical care and hospital conditions, may leave out other critical elements that contribute to maternal mortality.

4. CONCLUSION

Key insights from the study on maternal mortality rates highlight the critical importance of well-resourced healthcare systems, efficient management practices, and timely medical interventions in reducing maternal deaths. Adequate staffing, quality care, and emergency response systems are essential for managing complications and preventing fatalities. Socio-economic and cultural barriers, such as poverty and limited access to healthcare, also contribute significantly to high mortality rates, emphasizing the need for improved access to care for vulnerable populations. The study also underscores the importance of ongoing training for healthcare providers to enhance their ability to manage high-risk pregnancies effectively. However, sustained action and future research are necessary to address systemic issues, improve healthcare infrastructure, and reduce disparities in maternal health outcomes. Longitudinal studies and targeted interventions for at-risk groups will be vital in shaping policies and practices that can effectively lower maternal mortality rates globally.

REFERENCES

- Alden, K. R., Lowdermilk, D. L., Cashion, M. C., & Perry, S. E. (2013). *Maternity and women's health care-E-book*. Elsevier Health Sciences.
- Ali, T. (2014). *Identifying and responding to the challenge of staffing remote rural areas with health workers in middle and low income countries: the case of Sudan*. The University of Manchester (United Kingdom).
- Austin, A., Langer, A., Salam, R. A., Lassi, Z. S., Das, J. K., & Bhutta, Z. A. (2014). Approaches to improve the quality of maternal and newborn health care: an overview of the evidence. *Reproductive Health, 11*, 1–9.
- Barber, S. L., Gertler, P. J., & Harimurti, P. (2007). Differences In Access To High-Quality Outpatient Care In Indonesia: Lower quality in remote regions and among private nurses is a manifestation of the educational, policy, and regulatory frameworks upon which the Indonesian health system is based. *Health Affairs, 26*(Suppl2), w352–w366.
- Black, R. E., Taylor, C. E., Arole, S., Bang, A., Bhutta, Z. A., Chowdhury, A. M. R., Kirkwood, B. R., Kureshy, N., Lanata, C. F., & Phillips, J. F. (2017). Comprehensive review of the evidence regarding the effectiveness of community-based primary health care in improving maternal, neonatal and child health: 8. summary and recommendations of the Expert Panel. *Journal of Global Health, 7*(1).
- Combs Thorsen, V., Sundby, J., & Malata, A. (2012). Piecing together the maternal death puzzle through narratives: the three delays model revisited. *PLoS One, 7*(12), e52090.
- Eberhard-Gran, M., Garthus-Niegel, S., Garthus-Niegel, K., & Eskild, A. (2010). Postnatal care: a cross-cultural and historical perspective. *Archives of Women's Mental Health, 13*, 459–466.
- Filippi, V., Chou, D., Ronsmans, C., Graham, W., & Say, L. (2016). Levels and causes of maternal mortality and morbidity. *Disease Control Priorities, 2*, 51–70.
- Forward, A. F. Y. (n.d.). *BETTER BIRTHS*.
- Ghaferi, A. A., Birkmeyer, J. D., & Dimick, J. B. (2009). Variation in hospital mortality associated with inpatient surgery. *New England Journal of Medicine, 361*(14), 1368–1375.

- Gibson, C. B. (2017). Elaboration, generalization, triangulation, and interpretation: On enhancing the value of mixed method research. *Organizational Research Methods*, 20(2), 193–223.
- Graham, W. J., Bell, J. S., & Bullough, C. H. W. (2001). Can skilled attendance at delivery reduce maternal mortality in developing countries? *Safe Motherhood Strategies: A Review of the Evidence*.
- Hamburger, P. (2004). The new censorship: Institutional review boards. *The Supreme Court Review*, 2004, 271–354.
- Knight, H. E., Self, A., & Kennedy, S. H. (2013). Why are women dying when they reach hospital on time? A systematic review of the 'third delay.' *PloS One*, 8(5), e63846.
- Mrisho, M., Obrist, B., Schellenberg, J. A., Haws, R. A., Mushi, A. K., Mshinda, H., Tanner, M., & Schellenberg, D. (2009). The use of antenatal and postnatal care: perspectives and experiences of women and health care providers in rural southern Tanzania. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 9, 1–12.
- Murthy, S., & Adhikari, N. K. (2013). Global health care of the critically ill in low-resource settings. *Annals of the American Thoracic Society*, 10(5), 509–513.
- Nair, M., Yoshida, S., Lambrechts, T., Boschi-Pinto, C., Bose, K., Mason, E. M., & Mathai, M. (2014). Facilitators and barriers to quality of care in maternal, newborn and child health: a global situational analysis through metareview. *BMJ Open*, 4(5), e004749.
- Olonade, O., Olawande, T. I., Alabi, O. J., & Imhonopi, D. (2019). Maternal mortality and maternal health care in Nigeria: Implications for socio-economic development. *Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 7(5), 849.
- Organization, W. H. (2004). *World report on knowledge for better health: strengthening health systems*. World Health Organization.
- Organization, W. H. (2011). *Evaluating the quality of care for severe pregnancy complications: the WHO near-miss approach for maternal health*.
- Ouma, P. O., Maina, J., Thurair, P. N., Macharia, P. M., Alegana, V. A., English, M., Okiro, E. A., & Snow, R. W. (2018). Access to emergency hospital care provided by the public sector in sub-Saharan Africa in 2015: a geocoded inventory and spatial analysis. *The Lancet Global Health*, 6(3), e342–e350.
- Prata, N., Passano, P., Sreenivas, A., & Gerds, C. E. (2010). Maternal mortality in developing countries: challenges in scaling-up priority interventions. *Women's Health*, 6(2), 311–327.
- Rosa, W. (2017). Goal 3. Ensure health lives and promote well-being for all at all ages. *A New Era in Global Health: Nursing and the United Nations*, 2030, 265–282.
- Sandelowski, M. (2000). Combining qualitative and quantitative sampling, data collection, and analysis techniques in mixed-method studies. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 23(3), 246–255.
- Schwab, F., Meyer, E., Geffers, C., & Gastmeier, P. (2012). Understaffing, overcrowding, inappropriate nurse-ventilated patient ratio and nosocomial infections: which parameter is the best reflection of deficits? *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 80(2), 133–139.
- Snowden, J. M., Darney, B. G., Cheng, Y. W., McConnell, K. J., & Caughey, A. B. (2013). Systems factors in obstetric care: the role of daily obstetric volume. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 122(4), 851–857.
- Sumankuuro, J., Crockett, J., & Wang, S. (2018). Perceived barriers to maternal and newborn health services delivery: a qualitative study of health workers and community members in low and middle-income settings. *BMJ Open*, 8(11), e021223.
- Sundari, T. K. (2020). The untold story: how the health care systems in developing countries contribute to maternal mortality. *Women's Health, Politics, and Power*, 173–190.
- Uzochukwu, B. S. C., & Onwujekwe, O. E. (2004). Socio-economic differences and health seeking behaviour for the diagnosis and treatment of malaria: a case study of four local government areas operating the Bamako initiative programme in south-east Nigeria. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 3, 1–10.